International Journal of Innovations in Scientific Engineering www.ijise.in

(IJISE) 2022, Vol. No. 15, Jan-Jun **e-ISSN: 2454-6402, p-ISSN: 2454-812X**

PREDICTION OF FLOOD USING MACHINE LEARNING

Sanmay Yadav

Sherwood College, Nainital, Uttarakhand, India

ABSTRACT

Floods are among the most horrendous, complex catastrophic events to imitate. Throughout recent years, neural network approaches have contributed to creating prediction frameworks that give better execution and financially intelligent solutions to emulate the complex factual indications of normal flood processes. Research on the advancement of flood prediction models has added to take a chance with reduction, a strategy proposition, a decrease of human life, and relief of flood-related property harm. To overcome this issue, predict the event of floods or not with a rainfall data set by researching neural network-based procedures. The Multi-facet Perceptron Classifier (MLP) will do data set examination to catch subtleties like unique, recognizable proof, shortage treatment, information approval, and information cleaning/planning across the given information base. To apply flood prediction for or without precise computation in the class division report, track down the confusion matrix, and the outcome shows the effectiveness of the python.

INTRODUCTION

The flood issue is very ancient. Be that as it may, albeit the regular surges of huge regions didn't make the most difficult circumstances in the ancient world, the expansion in human action and urban communities have prompted flood harm counteraction. Since the end of the 100 years, with the approach of the modern period, there have been two periods of activity: water-powered exercises nearby, for example, land recovery exercises frequently damage worldwide equilibrium-based streams, particularly in sloping and rocky regions, prompting flooding.

EXPECTATION PROCEDURES UTILIZED

1) Logistic Regression: This predicts the result of a class-based change. Either yes or no or 0 or 1, valid or fake, and so on, yet rather than giving an actual value, for example, 0 and 1, it gives good qualities in the range of 0 and 1. Like this, the outcome will be a group or unique estimation.

2) Decision Tree: It is a regulated learning technique for characterization and regression issues. It is a tree-moulded divider, where the inside hubs mean the components of the dataset, the branches connote the principles of selection, and each node hub connotes the outcome.

(IJISE) 2022, Vol. No. 15, Jan-Jun **e-ISSN: 2454-6402, p-ISSN: 2454-812X**

3) Random forest: This is a novel strategy in AI used to determine order and regression problems. It utilizes ensemble (incorporated) learning. Ensemble learning is a multidisciplinary way to deal with giving solutions to multi-layered issues. This analysis contains numerous decision trees.

4) SVM: One of the most famous calculations to take care of Arrangement and Regression issues which are worked with Directed. As a top priority, learning is chiefly utilized for Characterization Issues in AI.

5) KNN: This is a non-parametric supervised learning technique. It is utilized for grouping and Regression. The info comprises the k nearest preparing examples in an informational collection for order and Regression. Whether K-NN is utilized for characterization or regression relies upon the result. The result is a class apart in the K-NN cluster.

6) Naive Bayes: It is a "probabilistic classifiers" division in light of relating Bayes' hypothesis with solid freedom assumptions between the designs. They have a place with fundamental Bayesian organization models. Nonetheless, it is consolidated with bit consistency estimation, which can achieve high accuracy.

7) Standard Scaler (Upgrade Calculation): a procedure that can control the scope of factors or elements that are not subject to information. As the assortment of new information values varies, objective capabilities don't work accurately without standardization in a couple of AI calculations.

INFORMATION DESCRIPTION

In this task, we took rainfall information from a notable data set site called Kaggle. We select this dataset to investigate and foresee flood occasions. The information base (.CSV) is 597KB and contains month-to-month precipitation subtleties of under 36 parts of India's meteorological information. The information comprises 641 lines, and Twenty-one sections show the rain every area in India got from 1951-2000. Every segment has an information boundary, such as the area's name, the period of information assortment, the year's total precipitation, the event of floods and the evaluations of straight months. A little part of the dataset is shown in Table (I).

Table 1

	STATE_UT	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	ANNUAL	Jan-Feb	Mar-May	Jun-Sep	Oct-Dec	flood	Avg_june:	maytojune
0	ANDAMAI	107.3	57.9	65.2	117	358.5	295.5	285	271.9	354.8	326	315.2	250.9	2805.2	165.2	540.7	1207.2	892.1	0	98.5	63
1	ANDAMAI	43.7	26	18.6	90.5	374.4	457.2	421.3	423.1	455.6	301.2	275.8	128.3	3015.7	69.7	483.5	1757.2	705.3	0	152.4	82.8
2	ANDAMAI	32.7	15.9	8.6	53.4	343.6	503.3	465.4	460.9	454.8	276.1	198.6	100	2913.3	48.6	405.6	1884.4	574.7	0	167.7667	159.7
3	ARUNACH	42.2	80.8	176.4	358.5	306.4	447	660.1	427.8	313.6	167.1	34.1	29.8	3043.8	123	841.3	1848.5	231	0	149	140.6
4	ARUNACH	33.3	79.5	105.9	216.5	323	738.3	990.9	711.2	568	206.9	29.5	31.7	4034.7	112.8	645.4	3008.4	268.1	1	246.1	415.3
5	ARUNACH	28	48.3	85.3	101.5	140.5	228.4	217.4	182.8	159.8	75.9	20.9	11.6	1300.4	76.3	327.3	788.4	108.4	0	76.13333	87.9
6	ARUNACH	42.2	72.7	141	316.9	328.7	614.7	851.9	500.6	418.3	218.7	42.9	22.9	3571.5	114.9	786.6	2385.5	284.5	0	204.9	286
7	ARUNACH	42.2	80.8	176.4	358.5	306.4	447	660.1	427.8	313.6	167.1	34.1	29.8	3043.8	123	841.3	1848.5	231	0	149	140.6
8	ARUNACH	83.7	153.9	303.5	383.6	268	374.2	272	160.5	266.7	167.2	64	56	2553.3	237.6	955.1	1073.4	287.2	0	124.7333	106.2
9	ARUNACH	70.3	170.9	367.9	554.4	334.2	526.2	460.8	291.5	353.6	275	64.9	74.2	3543.9	241.2	1256.5	1632.1	414.1	0	175.4	192
10	ARUNACH	33.5	67.8	106.1	226.9	453	640.5	609.5	503.4	492.3	214.7	19.2	11.3	3378.2	101.3	786	2245.7	245.2	0	213.5	187.5
11	ARUNACH	97.5	109.3	92.4	204.3	266.2	284.1	248.9	270.5	192.7	78.5	49.5	27.2	1921.1	206.8	562.9	996.2	155.2	0	94.7	17.9
12	ARUNACH	74.3	176.7	362.6	397.5	408.7	801.9	653	417.9	686	264.9	86.9	71.7	4402.1	251	1168.8	2558.8	423.5	1	267.3	393.2
13	ARUNACH	26	66.7	76.8	229.2	239.5	416.6	592.4	312.4	291.1	126.8	33.7	29.5	2440.7	92.7	545.5	1612.5	190	0	138.8667	177.1
14	ARUNACH	83.7	153.9	303.5	383.6	268	374.2	272	160.5	266.7	167.2	64	56	2553.3	237.6	955.1	1073.4	287.2	0	124.7333	106.2
15	ARUNACH	35.2	43.5	58.9	134.3	341.1	665.3	749.9	579.1	490.9	233.9	40.3	27	3399.4	78.7	534.3	2485.2	301.2	1	221.7667	324.2
16	ARUNACH	49	74.4	96.5	156.9	208	345.7	368.5	256.2	275.9	138.2	34.4	27.2	2030.9	123.4	461.4	1246.3	199.8	0	115.2333	137.7
17	ARUNACH	35.2	43.5	58.9	134.3	341.1	665.3	749.9	579.1	490.9	233.9	40.3	27	3399.4	78.7	534.3	2485.2	301.2	1	221.7667	324.2
18	ARUNACH	82.7	70	128.2	245.7	271.4	292.7	404	276.3	283.5	92.3	32.3	42.4	2221.5	152.7	645.3	1256.5	167	0	97.56667	21.3
19	ASSAM	13.3	50.2	168.3	262.5	386.4	532.1	526.2	470.8	360.8	182.4	34.8	11.4	2999.2	63.5	817.2	1889.9	228.6	0	177.3667	145.7
20	ASSAM	13.1	21.4	53.5	168.8	320	419.7	345.8	272.1	221.5	95.4	17.2	9.3	1957.8	34.5	542.3	1259.1	121.9	0	139.9	99.7
21	ASSAM	12.7	20.4	51.1	196.6	399.8	567.8	502.8	334.6	304.9	157.7	21.7	5.2	2575.3	33.1	647.5	1710.1	184.6	0	189.2667	168
22	ASSAM	12	20.8	58.6	151.7	293.4	365.5	345.1	248.7	188.4	106.6	15.1	7.5	1813.4	32.8	503.7	1147.7	129.2	0	121.8333	72.1

RUNDOWN OF MODULES

A. Information Pre-handling

B. Information Investigation of Representation

C. Execution of Strategic Relapse

D. Execution of Irregular Timberlands

E. SVM Execution

F. Decision Tree Execution

G. KNN Execution

H. Naive Bayes Execution

I. Standard Scalar Irregular Woodland (Upgrade) Execution

J. Sending Using flask

(IJISE) 2022, Vol. No. 15, Jan-Jun e-ISSN: 2454-6402, p-ISSN: 2454-812X

RESULT

We have considered past precipitation information where precipitation designs for the months January and February, Walk to May, June to September and October to December are utilized to anticipate future flood crises. We utilized four flood forecast techniques, for example, Straight Relapse Expectation, Choice Tree, Arbitrary Woods and SVM and gathered the exactness and viability of these four techniques. We then thought about which calculation had the most precision and best execution and utilized the calculation with the best presentation in the AI model.

CONCLUSION

The orderly process starts with information cleaning, handling the missing qualities, exploratory examination and, eventually, building the assessment model. Test information's presentation and accuracy are thought of, and the model with the most noteworthy execution and exactness is carried out in the AI model. The Irregular Backwoods calculation has been executed in the task site involving Flagon as it has the best precision and execution out of the multitude of four calculations tried. This application can assist with foreseeing future floods due to precipitation.

REFERENCES

[1] Pengzhan Cui, Yeqing Guan, Ying Zhu. "Flood Loss Prediction of Coastal City Based on GM-ANN" International Conference on Grey Systems and Intelligent Services (GSIS):2017

[2] Swapnil Bande, Virendra V. Shete. "Smart flood disaster prediction system using IOT & Neural Networks" International Conference On Smart Technologies For Smart Nation (SmartTechCon):2017

[3] Febus Reidj G. Cruz, Matthew G. Binag, Marlou Ryan G, Francis Aldrine A. "Flood Prediction Using Multi-Layer Artificial Neural Network in Monitoring System with Rain Gauge, Water Level, Soil Moisture Sensors" TENCON 2018 - 2018 IEEE Region 10 Conference:2018

[4] Indrastanti R. Widiasari, Lukito Edi Nugoho, Widyawan, Rissal Efendi. "Context-based Hydrology Time Series Data for A Flood Prediction Model Using LSTM" 5th International Conference on Information Technology, Computer, and Electrical Engineering (ICITACEE):2018

[5] Haniyeh Seyed Alinezhad, Jun Shang and Tongwen Chen. ”Early Classification of Industrial Alarm Floods Based on Semi-Supervised Learning” IEEE :2021

(IJISE) 2022, Vol. No. 15, Jan-Jun **e-ISSN: 2454-6402, p-ISSN: 2454-812X**

[6] T Gurleen Kaur, Anju Bala. " An Efficient Automated Hybrid Algorithm to Predict Floods in Cloud Environment" IEEE Xplore :2019

[7] Manomy K V, Meghna C S, Renuka Jayan1, Raghi R Menon. "Flood Prediction and Tracking Trapped" IJERT Vol 9,Issue 6 :2020

[8] J. E. Reynolds, S. Halldin, J. Seibert, C.Y. Xu & T. Grabs ."Flood prediction using parameters calibrated on limited discharge data and uncertain rainfall scenarios" Hydrological Sciences Journal, 65:9 :2020

[9] Xiaodong Ming, Qiuhua Liang, Xilin Xia, Dingmin Li, and Hayley J. Fowler . "Real-Time Flood Forecasting Based on a High- Performance 2-D Hydrodynamic Model and Numerical Weather Predictions" AGU Water Resources Research Vol 56 Issue 7 :2019

[10] Sharad Kumar Jain, Pankaj Mani, Sanjay K. Jain, Pavithra Prakash, Vijay P. Singh, Desiree Tullos, Sanjay Kumar, S. P. Agarwal & A. P. Dimri. "A Brief review of flood forecasting techniques and their applications." DOI:10.1080/15715124.2017.1411920 :2017